Rit to the)

5/14/72 Mary McGrory's 3/16.72 story on Mitchell's "open door" policy is great, but her tongue is too far back in her cheek. I can confirm that his door, or at least that of his assistant through which his can be see, was open when I was there. But the baffling part is finding the open door of value when the office(s) is(are) empty. Well, there was that single magazine, carefully opened to Mitchell's first "victory" and barely able to remain on that end table which held too large a lampt for it. I doubt he is anti-intellectual. I think he is not selective. He is just anti-especially people...Maybe he found dissident people welcome , if they ever could find him in, but he was not happy with letters, even when there was the legal obligation to answerpromptly. Or appeals, which he delayed long enough for the courts to get involved. The once and future part is the guts of it. What (he) has been is that which (he) shall be. H